



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,271		10/23/2001	Frits Jacobus Fallaux	3833.6US	3833.6US 8381	
24247	7590	04/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TRASK I	BRITT			NGUYEN, DA	AVE TRONG	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DILLI LI	ill ci.i,			1632		
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	10/038,271 FALLAUX I		ET AL.
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dave T Nguyen	1632	·
Therefore final rejudent	EPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To ore, further action by the applicant is required to ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe- ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi 1) a timely filed amendme al (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to ent which places the application is a timely filed Request for Co	o a on in
	PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	r b)]	
a) 🛚 b) 🗌	The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATCH OTEN	s Advisory Action, or (2) the dat e later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection.	

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ODP rejection regarding US 5994128. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the remaining ODP rejection over US 6,306,652. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 30-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____ 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: During a phone interview on April 26, 2004, attorney Bretton Crockette agreed to file a TD over the the '652 patent. Applicant also agreed to correct the cross reference with regrad to PCT/NL96/00244 and the status of the parent cases, which had been issued as US patents. Note that the '128 patent is a 371 of PCT/NL96/00244. Also, potential ODP issues with US 6692966 and 6265212 were discussed. Once reviewed for confirmations, applicant indicated that a TD will be filed to correct this ODP issues. Lastly, applicant will submit evidence showing that the inventive entitiy between the '212 patent and this aplication is the same.

DAVE T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER